IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, PINELLAS COUNTY

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff, Case No.

vs.

AARON SMITH-LEVIN,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Motion/Pretrial

DATE: October 17, 2025

The Honorable Kathleen T. Hessinger BEFORE:

County Court Judge

Pinellas County Justice Center PLACE:

> 14250 49th Street North Clearwater, Florida 33762

REPORTER: Jill D. Gershon

Digital Court Reporter

Administrative Office of the Courts Digital Court Reporting Department Pinellas County Justice Center 14250 49th Street North, Ste. H-2000 Clearwater, Florida 33762 (727) 453-7474

## 

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA: Natalie Taylor, Assistant State Attorney Office of Bruce Bartlett, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County 14250 49th Street North Clearwater, Florida 33762

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Lee Pearlman, Esquire Law Office of Pearlman Trial Group 2274 State Road 580 Clearwater, Florida 33763

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	THE COURT: Mr. Pearlman.
3	MR. PEARLMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Morning. How are you doing today?
5	MR. PEARLMAN: Living that dream just like
6	you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: You're always living that dream,
8	Mr. Pearlman.
9	MR. PEARLMAN: I say lots of things, Judge.
10	Your Honor, I'm here on Aaron Smith-Levin. He
11	is present. He's coming forward.
12	This is both pretrial and the State's motion for
13	no contact with the victim.
14	THE COURT: Yes, I got to pull up a Zoom here.
15	Hold on.
16	(Unrelated courtroom conversations.)
17	THE COURT: The victim is appearing by Zoom
18	because he's in some type of training.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Hi. Do I have Mr. Gaffney?
21	(Witness appearing via Zoom.)
22	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We we're
24	proceeding on the State versus Smith-Levin case.
25	All right, State, this is your motion for no

1 contact order. 2 Is there any objection to this, Mr. Pearlman? MR. PEARLMAN: There's no objection to contact 3 with the listed victim, Your Honor. The foundational 4 5 understanding is my client has been openly and actively testifying against the Church of Scientology 6 7 for over two years at this point. It is -- been something that he has been doing 9 on a weekly basis multiple times. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Testifying in court or 10 11 standing on a corner --12 MR. PEARLMAN: It's a standing-on-a-corner type. I -- I think that's a fair assessment. He also does 13 14 a lot of blogging. 15 THE COURT: Well, it's not testifying. He's out 16 there --17 MS. TAYLOR: Protesting. 18 THE COURT: -- I don't know what the word I'm 19 using. 20 MR. PEARLMAN: If I said testifying, protesting 2.1 is a better word. 22 THE COURT: Yeah, protesting is a word --2.3 MR. PEARLMAN: I'm wrong. THE COURT: -- I'm looking for. 24 25 MR. PEARLMAN: He is protesting the Church of

```
1
         Scientology.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          That's why when you said testifying,
 3
         I'm like, what?
 4
              MR. PEARLMAN: Yeah. That'd be the wrong word
 5
         choice.
              THE COURT: Okay. It's okay. I couldn't think
 6
 7
         of the right word choice, so we've got --
              MR. PEARLMAN: No, he's been protesting.
 9
              THE COURT: -- it, he's protesting then.
              MR. PEARLMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
12
              MR. PEARLMAN: And they are very clearly, you
13
         know, lineated lines as far as the private versus
14
         city property. He has essentially been abiding by
15
         that.
              In this situation, this is at -- at best, a
16
17
         push-back scenario where my client was attempting to
18
         talk to somebody in one of the buildings.
                                                     The listed
19
         victim is a security guard.
20
              There may have been --
              MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Pearlman.
2.1
22
              MR. PEARLMAN: Yes.
2.3
              MS. TAYLOR: That's the facts of the nonarrest
24
         battery --
25
              MR. PEARLMAN: Okay.
```

1 MS. TAYLOR: -- that he's since picked up since 2 this happened. 3 MR. PEARLMAN: What is this -- well (unintelligible)? 4 5 THE COURT: This is the throwing of some chalk. 6 MS. TAYLOR: This is when he threw powdered 7 chalk in the victim's face. MR. PEARLMAN: Oh, there's video of this one, 9 Your Honor, I apologize. Whether there's an actual battery or not will be highly disputed whether the 10 11 powder got onto him. 12 The -- the point I think we're making is that 13 there's been no intentional touching or contacting. 14 We have no objection to the no contact with this 15 victim as long as he is allowed to continue in a 16 nonviolent manner legal protesting that he has 17 undergone for the last two years or continued to 18 enforce the last two years. 19 THE COURT: All right. State, tell me what your 20 side of this is. 2.1 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor. Our position is 22 that we are requesting that the defendant remain away 2.3 from the victim, but specifically stay 500 feet away 24 from the victim's place of employment. The victim is

a licensed security guard with the Church of

25

Scientology.

2.1

With his role, he goes to -- he is kind of interchangeably moved around different properties within the Church within the city of Clearwater.

I've spoken with the victim. The victim is in fear of the defendant.

Since the filing of this motion, I have received a video that was -- occurred on October 9th of the defendant going up to the victim while the victim is on -- is on a sidewalk near the property.

I am happy to show that video to the Court. I will also advise that we received a nonarrest battery that Mr. Pearlman brought up that occurred on September 20<sup>th</sup> where the defendant approached the doors of Scientology, was trying to put his phone in the door, and in that process, he put his hands up and pushed a member of the Church.

I've -- I've spoken with Ms. Ellis and
Mr. Bartlett, himself, has advised that we file this
new battery charge against the defendant.

So it's the State's position that he is escalating his behavior and we would ask that this no contact order, again, apply that he is to remain 500 feet away from the victim's place of employment.

THE COURT: All right. And let me see the

```
video.
 1
 2
              MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, could I approach with
         Mr. Pearlman?
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
 5
              MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
     (Sidebar.)
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Can everyone see it?
                              (Unintelligible), Judge.
 8
              MR. PEARLMAN:
              MS. TAYLOR: That's the defendant
 9
          (unintelligible) and this occurred on October 9th,
10
11
         the day after the State filed the motion.
              THE COURT: Who are the rest of these people?
12
              MS. TAYLOR: That's the context of it.
13
14
              MR. PEARLMAN: Those are Scientologists
15
          (unintelligible).
16
     (Open court.)
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, if I could just
19
         briefly respond?
20
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2.1
              MR. PEARLMAN: Our objective here isn't --
22
         again, we're not seeking contact with the listed
         victim. We have no objection to that being granted.
2.3
24
         It's the 500-foot component him being allowed to be
25
         on public property.
```

What we see in that video, that we're not asking to allow for that to occur. It is simply for him to be able to do -- pursue his beliefs and his ability to protest an entity that he strongly disagrees with. So that's the main focus for us at this time,

2.1

2.3

THE COURT: You know, I was looking at

Mr. Smith-Levin who -- when the State mentioned that

video and he was adamantly shaking his head, no, but,

yet, there's a video showing exactly what the State

just said he was doing.

So I don't know what your beef is

Mr. Smith-Levin with, I guess, the Church of

Scientology, but it is getting a little concerning to

me that, yes, everybody has a right to protest.

That's the American way -- do -- do what you want to

do, but seems to me that you're amping it up for some

reason maybe because you're not getting enough

attention, I don't know. We'll find out.

But I am concerned with the video I just saw here with the allegations that are in this complaint and now there's another nonarrest allegation out there against you.

So I am going to grant the motion for no contact and no contact will mean that you will have no

contact with Mr. Gaffney. You'll have no contact with his place of employment which means that you can protest all you want, but you stay 500 feet away from the Church of Scientology. So you can protest 500 feet away from it.

2.1

2.3

You'll have -- you will not come within 500 feet of Mr. Gaffney, of his place of employment. You will not reach out to him by any way of social media. You will not have any third parties reach out to him on any type of social media. You are not to have any phone calls with him or any other contact with him whatsoever.

You are not to stick your phone in his face.

You want a video, you video 500 feet away. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

Can I ask one question? Is that okay?

MR. PEARLMAN: (Unintelligible).

THE COURT: Your Honor, the -- the Flag Land

Base -- Scientology base downtown is actually a -
composed of 200 properties. Is the order that I stay

500 feet away from any building on --

THE COURT: Every one of those properties. If he's security at every one of those properties and he rotates around through them, then you stay 500 feet

1 away from him. 2 You can stand 500 feet away and protest all you 3 want, but you sat here and shook your head, no, that you didn't do what the State was saying that you did 4 5 do and I just saw it on the video, so quite frankly, Mr. Smith-Levin, I don't trust that you're going to 6 7 stay away from him which means that you are to stay 500 feet away from his place of employment. 9 If his place of employment is every one of those buildings, then you are to stay 500 feet away from 10 11 him. 12 MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, we're pretrial for today. We would like to --13 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me do the order here. 14 15 MR. PEARLMAN: Yeah. 16 (Attorney/client conversation.) 17 THE COURT: This is a plural victims in here and there's only a singular victim as far as I'm -- know; 18 is that correct, State? 19 20 MS. TAYLOR: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could you 2.1 repeat that? 22 THE COURT: You have a plural victims under 2.3 Number 4. 24 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, that was an error, Your Honor. 25 It is one victim, Mr. John Gaffney.

MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, my client would like me to -- we're not in any way challenging the outcome of the ruling at this time. He just wants you to be aware he did not -- he doesn't really know the identity of the listed victim. That was an individual he was recording based on his interactions and what he's doing with his online protests. So it wasn't intentional contact with the listed victim, it was just part of what he was doing at this point.

2.1

2.3

Your ruling stands and we're not challenging that, obviously.

THE COURT: I get that, but that just kind of goes with the concern of my behavior with him is that he has a right to protest absolutely 100 percent, God bless America. I don't have any problem with that whatsoever. Your -- that's your right as an American citizen.

But your whole thing of -- and I -- if I remember, there was a video -- a phone that you're up there trying to put your phone in people's faces so that you can have content on whatever social media thing you have. All right?

You're now taking this a step further than your right to protest under our Constitution. You're now just trying to make some fun out of your social

media, maybe get some hits, get some likes, get whatever it is that people do on social media. I don't know because I don't do social media.

2.1

But you've now taken that a step further than the right that you're talking about -- your right to protest. So be careful what you're doing, all right, because we've gone beyond that now. And whether you believed it was this gentleman or somebody else, you're still just now starting to get to the point where maybe -- and I don't know because I don't know all the facts, I'm just taking what I'm seeing right now -- that maybe you're starting to harass people who actually work there as opposed to your Constitutional right to protest.

So don't walk over that line of your right to protest versus you then now starting to commit crimes against people, whether it's harassing, whether it's a battery, whether whatever -- whatever it is because if you're doing it just for social content, then your whole free speech protest, yada, yada just kind of going out the door. Understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: State, what drives me crazy is when you all do not proofread your forms.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State, I mean -- Madam Clerk, can 1 2 you -- can you print me out the no contact order that 3 you all have here? Thank you. 4 5 Tracy, can I please have copies, please? 6 THE CLERK: Your Honor, I have a -- a copy for 7 the State and the --THE COURT: Oh, okay, great. All right. Then 9 I --10 MR. PEARLMAN: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: No, never mind. 12 All right. Again, Mr. Smith-Levin, you protest 13 all you want. You have a constitutional right to do 14 that, but don't step over the line. Okay? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 16 THE COURT: And I'm worried that you're starting 17 to step over the line, you're going to end up getting 18 yourself in trouble. 19 All right. So today's also set for a pretrial? 20 MR. PEARLMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 2.1 THE COURT: So State, what is your 22 recommendation on this case? 23 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor. The State's 24 recommendation is an adjudication of guilt with \$875 25 in fines and court costs with 12 months of probation

where the defendant is to have no contact with the victim and pay restitution.

We are still working with the victim on the restitution figure as the victim did go to the hospital after this, but we should have that figure by the next court date.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.1

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: Oh, and investigative costs in the amount of \$58.28 to the Clearwater Police Department.

THE COURT: All right. And do you have all the discovery, Mr. Pearlman?

MR. PEARLMAN: I have some of the discovery.

I'm going to ask for a two- to three-week reset

because I think we need to figure out what's going on

with the nonarrest. It sounds like the State's going

to be filing that.

I anticipate setting trial on this particular case and then I would like to see the additional discovery that the State has on the NTA -- or the nonarrest, excuse me, and then, we can go from there. I think that's just the course we'll end up taking.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I can give you November  $13^{\rm th}$  is the earliest I've got.

MR. PEARLMAN: Let's take that, Your Honor.

I'll explain to my client procedurally the next steps

```
of all of this and how I anticipate it will unfold
 1
 2
         and then we can definitively pick a date that day or
         if we're moving towards resolution, we'll let the --
 3
 4
         the Court know obviously.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Pretrial conference
         November 13th at 8:30. And Mr. Smith-Levin, confirm
 6
 7
         your address, please.
              THE DEFENDANT: 120 South Brinwood Avenue,
 8
 9
         Clearwater, Florida 33755.
              MS. TAYLOR: That's what I have, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right, great.
              All right, we'll see you all on November 13th at
12
         8:30.
13
14
              MR. PEARLMAN: Yes, Your Honor, thank you for
15
         your time.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17
              All right, Mr. Gaffney, I'm going to disconnect
18
         you.
19
              MR. GAFNEY: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
20
    (Proceedings concluded.)
```

## CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF PINELLAS

I, Jill D. Gershon, a digital court reporter for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did transcribe the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and correct record of said proceedings.

DATED this 1st day of December, 2025.

/S Jill D. Gershon
Jill D. Gershon
Digital Court Reporter
Sixth Judicial Circuit