IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, PINELLAS COUNTY

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff, Case No.

vs.

AARON SMITH-LEVIN,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Defense's Motion to Set Bond

DATE: November 21, 2025

The Honorable Kathleen T. Hessinger BEFORE:

County Court Judge

Pinellas County Justice Center PLACE:

> 14250 49th Street North Clearwater, Florida 33762

REPORTER: Kristine Madorno

Digital Court Reporter

Administrative Office of the Courts Digital Court Reporting Department Pinellas County Justice Center 14250 49th Street North, Ste. H-2000 Clearwater, Florida 33762 (727) 453-7474

## A P P E A R A N C E S

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA: Morgan A. Mee, Assistant State Attorney Office of Bruce Bartlett, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County 14250 49th Street North Clearwater, Florida 33762

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Lee M. Pearlman, Esquire Pearlman Trial Group 2274 State Road 580 Clearwater, Florida 33763

ALSO APPEARING: Kayla B. Albritton, Assistant Public Defender

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pearlman --3 MR. PEARLMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- the courtroom is really pretty 4 5 much left for Andrew -- Aaron Smith-Levin. MR. PEARLMAN: Yes, Your Honor. If he's up and 6 able to be brought out, we can address it. 7 They have him. THE COURT: 9 MR. PEARLMAN: Sorry. Last time you wanted to address that last so I was letting that kind of 10 11 percolate. 12 THE COURT: No, no, no. That -- that was fine. 13 I assumed that when you weren't here that was exactly 14 what we were doing so (unintelligible.) 15 MR. PEARLMAN: Uh-huh. And Happy almost 16 Thanksgiving. 17 THE COURT: Yes. You too. All right. We've 18 got Mr. Smith-Levin here. We have a motion to set 19 bond. Let me hear your argument. 20 MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, obviously, we had a 2.1 pretty extensive hearing regarding the motion to 22 revoke bond. There was video that was shown that, 2.3 obviously, we had not seen at that point. Your Honor 24 believed that it was appropriate at that time given

the factual scenario and the evidence that you viewed

to revoke bond.

2.1

At that time, I had indicated to my client and to the Court we'd file the motion to have that -- the motion for bond to be heard as soon as Your Honor thought it was appropriate. The Court gave us this date.

We are hoping given the lack of any prior record and the -- I hesitate to use the word "lesson," but the -- the point that Your Honor was attempting to make, we believe and I've had communication with my client's family and my client at a great extent as far as what is to be expected of him and what is not appropriate or acceptable in any way, especially to the Court, but just from a day in and day out perspective of life.

My client has very strong feelings about, obviously, the entity he is protesting against, but at the same time he understands that there are -- there are lines and they are there for a reason and everyone is entitled to the protection of the law. He will, obviously, honor --

THE BAILIFF: Sir, put the phone away.

MR. PEARLMAN: -- all aspects --

THE BAILIFF: Put the phone away. All right.

MR. PEARLMAN: He will honor all aspects of the

court order. He understands very clearly the point Your Honor wanted to make to him.

2.1

2.3

THE COURT: Okay. And, State, what do you want me to hear?

MS. MEE: Yes, Your Honor. And this is Morgan Mee for the record.

Your Honor, I have been in consistent contact with the victim in this case as well as the victim -- well, the victim -- victims in both of the battery cases.

During the motion to revoke bond, the main basis was that new law violation as well as the video that was presented in court that day. I was made aware at that time that there were additional concerns coming from both victims as we are -- the Court is aware this Defendant has a YouTube channel, which he posts consistently on specifically targeting the Church of Scientology, as well as specifically addressing the victims, which in the State's view would potentially be rising to a violation of the no contact order.

And there are specific instances and I would specifically like to point out the fact that the argument that was made during the motion to revoke bond was that there have been zero incidents since September 20th; however, I've been provided an entire

packet with specific references to instances in which the victim felt that this Defendant was specifically targeting him in these YouTube videos as well as specifically contacting him or making reference to him by name.

2.1

Just for an example, I have -- sorry -- I want to pick the one that stands out to me. On October 28th, a YouTube video was posted. In this video the Defendant identified Mr. Gaffney by name as well as presented a photograph and he requested his followers to encounter church security personnel and photograph them or video them and send him the footage that is obtained.

And, Your Honor, that's just one example of several others in this packet that I have here and the State's main concern here, as well as I believe the Court's concern, is the rising level of this Defendant's behavior and, at this point, he's inciting others to get involved.

And, so, although potentially this Defendant is staying away, he is inciting others to participate and that is the State's concern especially with the fact that there's not only one victim in this case, there are two, and clearly this is targeted towards this church in particular.

THE COURT: I'm pretty certain I said in my no contact order that there -- he's not to have any other third parties have contact with the victims in this case.

MR. PEARLMAN: Well, I don't -- I don't think that he was asking to have contact with them in a communicative or interaction way. It's more to document things that they -- my client and the organization he's associated with the concerns they have.

I think at the end of the day here now his strong feelings towards the Church of Scientology and as it exists, he's entitled to have those feelings.

It's the actions that are crossing the line. He's been protesting for over three years at this time regularly --

(Attorney-client conversation.)

2.1

2.3

MR. PEARLMAN: Okay. I think his -- his approach on that wasn't about a direct contact at all towards the listed victim. It was that --

THE COURT: That's exactly what I heard from what the State just said. I mean, that's the exact message I received from the State what he is saying.

MR. PEARLMAN: Well, what he was saying was that a lot of the security guards were wearing masks and

beginning to wear masks. His point was to identify who they were based on their actions. His -- the -- the newer allegation that Your Honor saw is its own entity. The original involving the powder aspect of it was a back and forth between security and the protestors and water being thrown on the protestors and chalk getting thrown in the direction of the listed victim in this case.

2.1

2.3

So, it's -- the point that Your Honor has made and I think is -- is exactly on point, which is the escalation and trying to prevent further contact between listed victims in this situation. And I think that he -- his understanding is very different now than it was before we had that hearing and Your Honor laid out exactly the concerns you had.

I don't think it was an intentional contact with that person. It was a -- they're wearing security masks. We want to know who they are if they do things that they find to be inappropriate or unlawful.

MS. MEE: And, Your Honor, if I may?
THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MEE: Just a specific quote is, "Now anyone who interacts or comes across any Scientology organization security guard in downtown Clearwater,

whether you're a protestor or not, ex-Scientologist or not, I don't care. If you come into contact with one of these security guards, please feel free to take a photo, take a video, and send it to me."

(Attorney-client conversation.)

THE COURT: He's asking others to --

2.1

2.3

You need to stop talking, Mr. Smith-Levin, because I can honestly tell you 100 percent you are not helping yourself and, quite frankly, every time your poor attorney comes into this courtroom, he gets whacked with something new that he didn't know about. Okay. It's happened every time we've been in this courtroom because what you're presenting to him and what he's finding out bit by bit apparently every time he comes into this courtroom for an issue that you've done is that it's really not what you're representing. Okay.

So, your credibility with me right now is not that great. You're not helping yourself. You are escalating, which I have said already now twice and this is my third time.

And after we've had that no contact order where I specifically told you you're to have no third parties have contact, you are now asking on your YouTube channel to have other people do exactly what

I told you you couldn't do. And it is that have them have contact with them, have them send you content which you're now is violating the no contact order of me telling you you're not to have any third parties do that.

2.1

So, you're trying to skirt everything that I tell you to do, Mr. Smith-Levin. You're not getting the message. Your hatred for the Scientology is going to land yourself into jail for a very long time if you do not stop your behavior. You have escalated. It's now all about your YouTube channel. It didn't take me but two seconds to see in the first video where you were shoving your phone into somebody's face. Okay.

You're not the first person that I have that wants to, you know, get posts off a YouTube channel by shoving your phone into people's faces to -- to make it look good. All right. And you got one lady who's sitting over in jail for a year and a half because she had to -- was feeding her YouTube channel with a bunch of nonsense. And you're on that same path because you are not getting the message.

I specifically gave that no contact order on October 17th and I'm hearing you're doing this on October 30th?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Can I reply, ma'am? 2 THE COURT: You --3 THE DEFENDANT: Please. THE COURT: -- reply at your own risk. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Please. Please. The no contact order was for Mr. Gaffney, Your Honor. The video 6 7 and -- the video was -- had quite literally, I'm not exaggerating, ma'am, nothing to do with Mr. Gaffney. 9 What I showed was all of the Scientology security guards who interact with the protestors 10 11 cover their face with protective masks. I have some 12 sources who used to work in that security force that 13 were helping us identify the people who were hiding their identities. 14 15 Mr. Gaffney had already identified himself to 16 the Court. Prior to that, I had no idea who he was. 17 My video asked for people to get photos or videos of 18 other --- I specified other security guards who were 19 covering their faces so that we could have a complete 20 list of their identifies, Your Honor. It was not to 2.1 violate or to be cute with the protection order in 22 any way. 2.3 THE COURT: You want to add anything, Ms. Mee? 24 MS. MEE: Yes, Your Honor. I would just further

emphasize the fact that this is now -- the scope has

widened. We've gone beyond one individual. There was a second individual and this Defendant just admitted right there that he's willing to go beyond that. It's -- the fact that this is all focused on the Church of Scientology, do you want to just come -- do we want to come forward and have another victim next?

2.1

2.3

Like, that's where this is arising to and that's the State's concern. That's why the State wants him held in custody at this time.

THE COURT: You know, you told me, Mr. Smith-Levin, last time when you were about ready to go to jail how this occurred before that motion for no contact and you had learned your lesson and you were behaving yourself much better and -- and that you had heard me loud and clear.

And from what I'm hearing right now is you really didn't hear me loud and clear is that you really are just doing everything you can to skirt that -- that no contact order. You're basically -- you've gone from you're picketing and your right to --

MR. PEARLMAN: Protest.

THE COURT: -- protest -- thank you -- I missed the word -- the right to protest to now targeting

1 people, trying to get content for your YouTube 2 channel and you've escalated the situation. 3 And, so, you really haven't gotten the message, Mr. Smith-Levin, in regards to you go out there, you 4 5 can have your protest signs and do all you want. Now you're trying to target people. You're trying to --6 7 what is it that they call it when they put people's personal information out there? I forget -- they 8 9 use -- there's a --10 THE DEFENDANT: Doxxing, Your Honor. 11 MS. MEE: Doxx. 12 THE COURT: Doxx. There -- thank you. Doxxing 13 people --14 (Attorney-client conversation.) 15 THE COURT: -- which it seems to me you're 16 trying to stir up problems for other security guards 17 that you know that you can't do so now you're trying 18 to get other people to do it. 19 No. I don't really think you should talk 20 anymore, Mr. Smith-Levin. 2.1 MR. PEARLMAN: If I can just briefly interject, 22 Your Honor, for the -- because, again, as you're --23 you stated I get more information every time I walk 24 in. 25 THE COURT: You do.

1 MR. PEARLMAN: So, it's a reactive component.

2.1

2.3

Where I'm at with this -- and I've talked to my client at length. I've talked to his associates at length. I think that there is a concern of escalation on both sides that they were responding to. Whether it was true or not or whether it was perceived or not -- well, it was perceived -- whether -- how accurate or objective it is, I can't speak to that.

What I can say is I think that was why things after three years suddenly -- this -- his channel's been around for a long time. He's been involved for a long time. I think it was viewed as that.

What I can say is he has taken a very different understanding and tact since Your Honor revoked his bond and put him into custody which was the objective. And I can tell you after these conversations, I think he has no problem taking those -- what he thought he was doing he thought was legal.

I didn't know that was going on. I would have given different advice to avoid these scenarios, but I don't think he was in bad faith. I thought -- I think he believes in good faith he was trying to identify individuals because of an escalation because

that -- the whole powder throwing incident was an 1 2 escalation from both sides that being said. THE COURT: But then, after that, he on his own 3 shoved a video in --4 5 MR. PEARLMAN: He did. 6 THE COURT: -- the alleged victim's face. He on 7 his own walking past that door saw the opportunity when the door was open to turn around immediately and 9 start shoving his phone into everybody's faces. So, he has on his own taken the step of escalating on the 10 11 situation. 12 And then, you know, I don't take it lightly, 13 Mr. Smith-Levin, when I order somebody not to do 14 something and then you go and just try to toe the 15 line and that's exactly what you did. Nope. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Can I say one more thing, ma'am, 17 please? 18 No. No. I'm just telling you the THE COURT: 19 message that I'm receiving --20 I totally understand. THE DEFENDANT: 2.1 THE COURT: -- Mr. Smith-Levin. 22 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 2.3 THE COURT: -- and you are basically just 24 stirring up trouble, stirring the pot, and walking 25 the line of that no contact order.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Can I please say one more thing, 2 ma'am? 3 Well, correct or not, I want to say the research that I did indicated that for licensed security 4 5 quards in the State of Florida, it was actually a 6 violation of a state law to cover your face, to 7 protect your identity while carrying out your duties. THE COURT: Great. Then call --9 In my videos --THE DEFENDANT: 10 THE COURT: You know what? Then call the police 11 and tell them that they're violating state law. That's what I --12 THE DEFENDANT: 13 THE COURT: Don't go -- no, no, no, stop. 14 You're not helping yourself, Mr. Smith-Levin. 15 think it's such a big violation of state law, then you call the police and let them investigate it, not 16 17 sit there on your YouTube channel and just try to 18 stir problems. And that's where you are. MR. PEARLMAN: 19 Okay. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Understood. 2.1 MR. PEARLMAN: I do think he understands, Your 22 Honor. I do think the point is made and I do 2.3 think -- because part of what I have to do now I --24 I've not yet been retained on the new battery

allegation, but I wanted to go the psychological eval

and counseling route.

2.1

2.3

Whether -- again I -- I need to be able to show what's going on. I need him to have an objective other than kind of the dynamics of his own group, have an outside perspective. I wanted to begin that process. He is more than willing to begin the process.

I was going to ask for a GPS monitor to ensure compliance and I've already reached out to Solutions. They can get him in for the mental health eval and treatment almost (unintelligible). I do have a game plan. These things keep --

THE COURT: I know. You keep getting --

MR. PEARLMAN: -- shifting --

THE COURT: -- whacked in the back of the head every time this guy comes into the courtroom.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, we've never even spoken. We haven't had a conversation about any of this. I'm not misrepresenting myself to my lawyer.

MR. PEARLMAN: No, no. I've got -- I've got -- correct. I've got a game plan for him on the treatment which we -- we've discussed previously. At the end of the day for me there -- there -- this can be fixed. His behavior can be adjusted to be what has been in line for the three years he's been

heavily involved in the protests. The escalation has to be de-escalated and I think he understands that.

2.1

2.3

He, you know, his thoughts have been to his family the entire time. He wants to get back to his children. At the end of the day he's willing to do what Your Honor is asking.

And what happened prior to versus what happened at the motion for the revocation of the bond he had a different mindset. I think he was trying to be in good faith and, again, if I had known I wouldn't have -- I would have said don't do this, but there's a different person here than there was at that motion. I know this information is coming out, but that all happened prior to the motion.

That's where we're at. We will execute the plan. We will provide the counseling component to this that I think will be helpful and it is up to Your Honor, obviously, if you think he has not gotten that message. I believe he has. I believe you have a different person before you. He has never been in trouble before and I think this is a --

THE DEFENDANT: I've never been arrested before.

THE COURT: Well, I -- I don't see a different person. I just see a person just trying to give excuses for his behavior. So, I really don't see a

1 different person. 2 MR. PEARLMAN: I understand. 3 THE COURT: What was the last date that you have in that group of -- that information, Ms. Mee, about 4 5 what he's saying and escalating on his YouTube 6 channel? 7 MS. MEE: Yes, Your Honor. The most recent note from -- that has been provided to me is dated 8 9 November 15th, at which time the Defendant turned over his social media accounts to his friends who 10 11 posted material proclaiming he is falsely accused and, "This means war. We are doubling down." 12 13 THE COURT: That's interesting because it was November 13th that I revoked his bond. 14 15 MR. PEARLMAN: Correct. That wasn't him 16 posting. 17 THE COURT: Well, but he -- his YouTube channel was turned over to his friends and now we're doubling 18 down? 19 20 MR. PEARLMAN: I -- he can't and I can't control 2.1 them. 22 THE COURT: He can control his own YouTube 23 channel and why he turned it over to them I do not 24 know. I'd be interested in to hear what the phone 25 records are reflecting from the jail.

My mindset when I came in here today was to put him on GPS and supervised ROR. I'm not sure that -- I think I'm not there yet based on what I'm hearing when I've got him in jail and now we've got basically doing exactly what I just said. He's asking others to do his bidding that I told him he couldn't do.

2.1

And, so, now they're using the fact that he's gotten himself into more trouble and he's created more problems to use it as a calling card to get other people to do other stuff and I'm -- I do have concerns.

So, today, I'm going to deny the motion to set bond and I'll do it without prejudice. Okay.

MR. PEARLMAN: I appreciate the without prejudice, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Deny motion to set bond.

Mr. Levin-Smith (sic), you got yourself into this situation and you can't seem to bring it down. Even after my lecture to you on the 17th pointing out what I was seeing happening -- of October -- you then have all this that you're doing on the YouTube channel basically asking people to do what I told you you couldn't do.

And then I put you in jail and you turn over your YouTube channel two days later and have

everybody doing what you can't do.

2.1

THE DEFENDANT: It's the only way I make money, Your Honor, is my channel.

THE COURT: Well, you know what? That's exactly it. And I know that's the way you're making your money. I'm sitting here listening to your lawyer saying you want to get home to your family and kids and I'm sitting here thinking what does this guy do for a living? Why is he sitting there protesting? Then I'm like, oh, he's -- what he's doing for a living is he's making money off of his YouTube channel attacking the Church of Scientology. So --

THE DEFENDANT: I'm a former -- I'm a child trafficking victim of the Church of Scientology.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Smith-Levin, you're not helping yourself. Okay? You may be a victim of the trafficking or whatever it is, but you have gotten yourself so ingrained in this that you are escalating and I'm not going to have somebody killed on my watch.

MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, I'm --

THE COURT: And that's what I'm concerned about.

MR. PEARLMAN: I'm going to go in the back and speak to my client. I'm going to ask his friends and family, please wait so we can have a candid

1 conversation outside about what's going on and the 2 next steps so that I can have the best chance to get 3 my client out of custody. So, if they could please wait while I talk to 4 5 him in the back and we're going to go from there. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to do an order on 7 this. Is this thing set for -- I have something set on this already? A pretrial or something, right? 9 MR. PEARLMAN: I don't know if anything's set 10 right now. 11 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. It's set for a 12 pretrial on --On December 18th? 13 THE COURT: 14 THE CLERK: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, the gentleman in the 17 gray hoodie pulled out his phone. He was either 18 taking pictures or video. I had no idea. That's why 19 I interrupted the court session to tell him to put it 20 away, but I don't know if he was taking pictures or 2.1 photos. 22 THE COURT: Sir? You in the gray hoodie. You. 2.3 THE BAILIFF: You, sir. You, sir. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes, ma'am. 25 THE COURT: You were taking videos or --

```
1
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. I took a picture.
 2
         I apologize. I didn't know whether --
 3
              THE COURT: You are to delete that picture right
 4
         now.
 5
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I will, absolutely.
 6
              THE COURT: You are to take out your phone right
 7
         now --
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
 8
                                     Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: -- and delete the -- the photo.
10
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Unintelligible.)
11
              THE COURT: Go walk up there, Deputy, and watch
12
         that he's doing that.
13
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: You are not allowed to have any type
15
         of videos, phones, or recordings in this courtroom
16
         without permission from the Chief Judge.
17
    (Courtroom conversations.)
18
              THE BAILIFF: You took another one?
19
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, no. I did -- it's
20
         called double delete just so that you know --
2.1
              THE BAILIFF: Okay.
22
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- that it's not -- it's
2.3
         a double delete situation. That was the only
24
         (unintelligible.)
25
              MR. PEARLMAN: Your Honor, I think we need to
```

have my client back out. I think he would like me 1 2 not to represent him anymore. THE COURT: Well, I got one of his friends over 3 here taking videos and pictures here in the 4 5 courtroom. THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, he did show me a 6 7 couple -- one video that he had it on his phone and I observed when he deleted them. 9 THE COURT: All right. I better not show up on 10 that YouTube channel because then you're going to 11 have more issues. Do you understand that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. 12 13 My apologies. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. PEARLMAN: If we can have him brought back 16 out, please. I think he would like to go a different 17 direction. THE COURT: All right. 18 (Courtroom conversations.) 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Yes. 2.1 MR. PEARLMAN: I don't know if my client would 22 like me to continue representing him. I think that 2.3 he believes I'm not doing a sufficient job for him 24 and that there are concerns about my representation. 25 So, at this point, if he wants me to withdraw, I

```
just need him to lay it on the record and he can seek
 1
 2
         different counsel.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. File your motion to
         withdraw and go from there.
 4
 5
              Mr. Smith-Levin, you've got one of the best
         attorneys in Pinellas County. So, you choose to have
 6
 7
         him withdraw, that's -- that's your choice.
              THE DEFENDANT: I didn't ask him to withdraw,
 9
         Your Honor.
              MR. PEARLMAN: Okay.
10
11
              THE COURT: So --
12
              MR. PEARLMAN: So, if you want me to continue to
13
         represent you --
14
              THE DEFENDANT: I didn't ask you to withdraw.
15
              MR. PEARLMAN: Okay.
16
              THE COURT: All right.
17
              MR. PEARLMAN: It felt different, but that's
18
         fine. I'll file the motion accordingly if it's
19
         appropriate.
20
              THE DEFENDANT: Well, in the last three months,
2.1
         I've had 15 minutes of consultation with you and I
22
         have issue with that. I didn't ask for you to
2.3
         withdraw.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Well, you guys figure
25
         out --
```

```
1
              MR. PEARLMAN: Sure
 2
              THE COURT: -- whether you can resolve your
 3
         differences. If not, then you are to -- you can file
 4
         your motion --
 5
              MR. PEARLMAN: Yep. Absolutely.
              THE COURT: -- and I'll hear it. Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. PEARLMAN: Just wanted to be clear on the
         record --
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. PEARLMAN: -- that I will continue to do
10
11
         what I'm going to try to do to the best of my
12
         ability.
              THE COURT: All right. Let me do this order
13
         real quick.
14
15
              MR. PEARLMAN: If we could all come outside,
16
         please?
17
              THE COURT: Hold on. Don't leave, Mr. Pearlman.
18
         I need to --
19
              MR. PEARLMAN: Give me the order.
20
              THE COURT: -- do the order here.
21
    (Courtroom conversations.)
22
              THE COURT: Sorry about that.
23
              MS. ALBRITTON: Hey, Deputy, just -- I just want
24
         to raise that some phones can recover deleted --
25
              THE BAILIFF: I know.
```

```
1
              MS. ALBRITTON: -- photos and stuff. So, do you
 2
         have their, like, names?
              THE BAILIFF: Yeah. We grabbed -- yeah --
 3
              MS. ALBRITTON: Okay.
 4
 5
              THE BAILIFF: -- yeah, we did, and I'm about to
 6
         (unintelligible.)
 7
    (Courtroom conversations.)
              THE BAILIFF: You want to just let her know too?
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Here you go, Madam Clerk.
              MS. ALBRITTON: Sure.
10
11
              THE BAILIFF: Your Honor? Ms. Albritton has
12
         something --
13
              MS. ALBRITTON: Can I just approach?
              THE COURT: Yes.
14
15
              MS. ALBRITTON: I just, you know, was expressing
16
         my concern that some phones can recover deleted
17
         pictures and videos. So, they might just -- going to
18
         be able to get what they have.
19
              THE COURT: Yes. Well, if I show up on the
20
         YouTube channel, we'll know if he did or not.
              THE BAILIFF: I -- I did observe that he deleted
2.1
22
              Then my corporal came up and got his information
23
         so he's writing a report on him. That way it was
24
         documented.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
```

```
THE BAILIFF: So, just in case something does
 1
 2
         come up.
               THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank you.
 3
               THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: And I appreciate it. Somebody I
 5
         know knows a lot about phones. All right.
 6
               THE BAILIFF: I have a hard time turning them on
 7
         and off. So...
 8
 9
     (Proceedings concluded.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF PINELLAS

I, Kristine Madorno, a digital court reporter for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did transcribe the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and correct record of said proceedings.

DATED this 10th day of December, 2025.

/S Kristine Madorno
Kristine Madorno
Digital Court Reporter
Sixth Judicial Circuit